

Transient Creatine Supplementation Suppresses the Cortisol Response to a High-Intensity Swim-Sprint Workout

V. Dogenski¹, R. Cambell²

Department of Physical Education, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Paraná, Brazil^{1,2}.



Abstract— The essential point of the present investigation was to assess the impacts of creatine ingestion on the metabolic hormone cortisol in male swimmers. Seventeen male swimmers (24.5 ± 3.9 years) with in any event 5 years of focused swimming background and occupied with swimming preparing at any rate 4 times each week took part in the examination. Subjects enhanced with creatine (20 g/day) + maltodextrin (1g/kg/day) or maltodextrin (1g/kg/day) just for 6 days before a dynamic swim-run exercise. The swim-dash exercise comprised of 8 dynamic 100 meter free-form sets beginning at 65% of maximal force paving the way to a 100% greatest limit set on the eighth and last set. Cortisol was surveyed preceding and following (inside 5 minutes) the swim-run exercise. Following 6 days of creatine monohydrate supplementation, there was a huge decrease in cortisol focuses following the dynamic swim-dash exercise in the creatine + maltodextrin gathering (15.5 ± 0.99 $\mu\text{g/dL}$) when contrasted with the maltodextrin just gathering (18.33 ± 2.61 $\mu\text{g/dL}$). In light of these discoveries, it gives the idea that creatine stacking (20 grams for every day for 6 days) fundamentally decreases the cortisol reaction to 100-meter free-form swimming sets performed in a dynamic power way.

Keywords—Sports nourishment, Swimming, Exercise, Catabolic.

1. Introduction

Cortisol, a glucocorticoid, is a catabolic hormone which is discharged in light of pressure and during high-force work out. Cortisol is emitted by the adrenal cortex and is at last constrained by the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal hub. In particular, the discharge of cortisol is controlled for the most part by adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) emitted by the front pituitary organ [1]. The catabolic impacts of cortisol bring about a diminishing in protein union and an expansion in rates of protein corruption [2]. Constantly raised levels are related with pressure renovating [2]. In connection to practice digestion, there is an immediate connection between heights in exercise power and the cortisol that is discharged by the adrenal cortex [3,4]. During activity, one of the essential activities of cortisol is to free fuel substrates (blood glucose and free unsaturated fats) for consequent oxidation in dynamic skeletal muscle. To this end, cortisol invigorates the hydrolysis of triglycerides by expanding affectability of fat tissue to catecholamine-activated lipolysis [5]. Additionally, cortisol invigorates muscle protein debasement bringing about an expansion in the accessibility of amino acids [6]. In particular, cortisol specifically debases type II and extras type I muscle filaments [7]. All things considered, cortisol's impact on hoisting plasma amino corrosive focus by means of proteolysis prompts the generation of glucose by means of gluconeogenesis in the liver. Proof for this originates from the exhibition that cortisol initiates the gluconeogenic compounds fructose 1,6-biphosphatase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) [8-10].

Due to the catabolic/proteolytic nature of cortisol, just as its relationship with immunosuppression [11], inquire about has been directed in competitors to decide the cortisol reaction to various preparing regimens [12,13] and dietary intercessions [14-19] so as to anticipate rises in cortisol. Starch supplementation has

been reliably considered for its consequences for the cortisol reaction to work out, with a portion of these examinations detailing that sugar admission stifles cortisol fixations [15,19] and others announcing no impact on smothering cortisol focuses [14,16-18].

Notwithstanding sugar supplementation, transient creatine monohydrate ingestion has additionally been researched for its consequences for plasma cortisol focuses during obstruction practice [20] and cycling exercise [21]. In every one of these examinations, it was accounted for that transient creatine supplementation did not stifle the plasma cortisol focuses that were inspired from the activity intercessions. Hardly any examinations have explored the impacts of sugar with creatine monohydrate supplementation and its consequences for the cortisol reaction following an intense episode of physical movement. The reason for the present investigation was to decide whether creatine monohydrate added to starch (maltodextrin) lessens cortisol focuses when contrasted with maltodextrin supplementation alone after a dynamic power swim-run exercise.

2. Methods

2.1 Members

Seventeen swimming competitors volunteered to participate in this investigation. The members were swimming competitors (contenders under ace or expert levels) from different swimming focuses in the city of Curitiba/Pr-Brazil just as swimming competitors not related with swimming focuses. The members played out their swim preparing for a time of in any event five years and were presently endeavoring to improve swim speed with a preparation recurrence of at any rate four days out of each week. All members were educated about the system and purposes before joining up with the investigation and marked an educated assent report that was affirmed by the Ethical Committee from Federal University of Parana, Brazil.

2.2 Test Design

A randomized, twofold blinded structure was executed in this examination. Members were required to go to the MobiDick Sport Center multiple times over a three-week duration. During the principal visit, the best time that the member accomplished on a 100-meter free-form swim was recorded and used to set the power for the accompanying two exercise sessions. During the following two visits, the members played out a dynamic power swim-run convention (see practice convention underneath) in which blood was gathered (allude to blood examining and examination beneath) one hour before the exercise and following the swim-run convention. The first of these visits was directed without supplementation (T1), and the last testing session (T2) was performed after the members ingested creatine in addition to maltodextrin or maltodextrin alone for six days preceding the exercise.

2.3 Supplementation

Members were separated into two gatherings: the creatine monohydrate gathering (CREATINE; n = 9) was enhanced with 20g/day of creatine monohydrate and 1g/kg of bodyweight every day of maltodextrin. The control gathering (CONTROL; n = 8) was just enhanced with 1g/kg of bodyweight every day of maltodextrin. Members ingested the games supplements for six days before the dynamic swim convention. Enhancements were weighed with an exactness scale and segments were kept into individual packs for day by day use. Members ingested the enhancements in four equivalent dosages for the duration of the day, with one of the portions taken 40 minutes preceding the swim-run convention upon the arrival of testing.

The creatine (Option Corporation®) and maltodextrin (Probiotica®) were procured from nearby games supplement retail shops in Curitiba-PR.

2.4 Exercise Protocol

Information accumulation was completed at MobiDick Sport Center situated in Curitiba-PR, in pools of 25 meters. Eight continuous 100-meter free-form sets were performed in a dynamic power design in the accompanying way [22].

- 3 sets at 65% of the best 100 meter time with 3 minutes of rest after each set
- 2 sets at 75% of the best 100 meter time with 4 minutes of rest after each set
- 1 set at 85% of the best 100 meter time with 6 minutes of rest after this set
- 1 set at 95% of the best 100 meter time with 20 minutes of rest after each set
- 1 set at most extreme force (100% exertion)

Rates of exertion were built up as indicated by the best occasions recorded for every competitor, which were gotten during an underlying visit to the MobiDick Sport Center.

2.5 Blood Sampling and Analysis

Every biochemical investigation was finished at the Service of Clinical Analyses from the Federal University Hospital of Parana (SAC/UFPR). Blood tests were gotten through venous accumulation (needle and syringe) in the antecubital vein of every competitor at two distinctive time focuses: very still one hour before the start of the dynamic swim-run convention and following (inside 5 minutes) the swim-run convention. Gathered blood was measured for cortisol, insulin, and blood glucose. Each example of blood was isolated in two cylinders: one containing fluoride (4ml) for glucose assurance and the other (8ml) containing a gel sifter for the examination of insulin and cortisol. The grouping of circling glucose was practiced by means of the Glucose Hexokinase II technique through the Glucose Hexokinase II Kit and ADVIA 1650 reagents (Bayer). Insulin levels were dictated by immunoassay and immunometric test techniques on an Immulite 2000 computerized analyzer.

2.6 Dietary Intake

During the seven day stretch of the test, members were required to finish a dietary record for three non-successive days. Just one of the days could be on an end of the week. Members were told to record all nourishment and drinks ingested (counting planning and amount) just as the time and spot in which the sustenance was ingested. Furthermore, members were told to maintain a strategic distance from sustenances containing caffeine during the seven day stretch of the trial because of the worries that caffeine may stifle the impacts of creatine [23].

2.7 Factual Analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk trial of ordinariness was connected and since the typical condition was not accomplished, subordinate factors were examined by the Wilcoxon non-parametric test. The Mann-Whitney test was utilized to check critical contrasts between the various gatherings.

3. Results

3.1. Cortisol

Cortisol focuses were altogether diminished ($p < 0.05$) in the creatine + maltodextrin bunch after the swim-run convention when contrasted with resting values. Also, the creatine + maltodextrin gathering acknowledged essentially lower ($p < 0.05$) cortisol focuses following the swim-dash convention when contrasted with the maltodextrin gathering. Table 1 gives the crude information to cortisol fixations under both the supplementation and non-supplementation testing sessions.

	CREATINE GROUP		CONTROL GROUP	
	PRE	POST	PRE	POST
CORTISOL REST	18,83 ± 1,78	19,45 ± 4,00	17,97 ± 0,94	**19,70 ± 1,91
CORTISOL END	17,75 ± 2,13	*# 15,50 ± 0,99	17,17 ± 1,21	18,33 ± 2,61

Table 1: $P \leq 0,05$ (*- pre and post the same group, # - between groups).

3.2 Blood Glucose and Insulin

In connection to blood glucose, a critical distinction was seen between gatherings very still after supplementation, with the creatine + maltodextrin gathering encountering an altogether lower ($p < 0.05$) blood glucose level when contrasted with the maltodextrin just gathering. After the swim-dash convention, blood glucose levels were lower in the creatine + maltodextrin bunch when contrasted with the maltodextrin just gathering, however this distinction did not achieve measurable hugeness ($p = 0.06$).

As to, a noteworthy distinction was seen between gatherings after the swim-dash convention, with the creatine + maltodextrin gathering encountering an altogether higher ($p < 0.05$) insulin focus when contrasted with the maltodextrin just gathering. Table 2 gives the crude information to blood glucose and insulin fixations under both the supplementation and non-supplementation testing sessions.

	CREATINE GROUP		CONTROL GROUP	
	PRE	POST	PRE	POST
INSULIN REST	21,57 ± 23,87	14,12 ± 10,70	21,63 ± 23,81	21,51 ± 23,92
INSULINA END	11,02 ± 1,36	*# 15,78 ± 3,53	11,04 ± 1,33	10,10 ± 1,39
GLYCEMY REST	90,33 ± 4,03	*# 80,67 ± 9,05	89,00 ± 1,55	91,67 ± 5,09
GLICEMIA END	110,50 ± 17,51	† 99,33 ± 12,44	104,67 ± 12,40	116,33 ± 19,44

Table 2: $P \leq 0,05$ (*-pre and post a similar gathering, # - between groups).

4. Discussion

Cortisol applies its catabolic action by inciting lipolysis and proteolysis. Raised cortisol movement additionally invigorates hepatic gluconeogenesis and builds foundational blood glucose fixations. Since it is regularly known as a pressure hormone, cortisol levels are raised logically with increments in exercise force [24]. There is solid proof that sugar utilization during activity may constrict the expansion in cortical fixations and its related immunosuppression [25]. Be that as it may, this perception has been accounted for principally in perseverance type practice preliminaries [15,26,27].

In the present examination, starch ingestion (as maltodextrin) given 40 minutes before high force dynamic swim exercise had no impact on the concealment of cortisol. In view of these discoveries, it might be estimated that starch supplementation might be advantageous in lessening the cortisol reaction to delayed continuance work out, however not present moment, high force works out.

In any case, a normal stacking portion of creatine (20 grams for every day for 6 days) before the high-power dynamic swim practice essentially diminished cortisol rises in contrast with maltodextrin ingestion alone. These outcomes ought not be deciphered so that would limit the significance of sugar ingestion before exercise. On the other hand, sugar ingestion is basic for keeping up blood glucose levels during perseverance work out, for giving blood glucose as fuel to the focal sensory system during continuance and rehashed high-force work out, and to balance the decreases in skeletal muscle and liver glycogen that are related with exercise.

5. Conclusion

This examination in prepared swimmers exhibits that momentary creatine supplementation can smother the activity-initiated cortisol reaction during high-force swim run. This finding might be useful for competitors experiencing serious preparing and may help forestall overtraining disorder and help the competitor in a progressively quick recuperation post-work out. Similarly, that sugar enhancements are utilized to anticipate catabolism in long separation occasions, this examination suggests that creatine supplementation may smother the catabolic exercises of cortisol during brief length, high force work out.

6. References

1. Guyton AC, Hall JE (2000) Textbook of Medical Physiology (10th edn) W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, USA.
2. Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA (2005) Hormonal responses and adaptations to resistance exercise and training. *Sports Medicine* 35: 339-61.
3. Hill EE, Zack E, Battaglini C, Viru M, Viru A, et al. (2008) Exercise and circulating cortisol levels: the intensity threshold effect. *J Endocrinol Invest* 31: 587-91.
4. Luger A, Deuster PA, Gold PW, Loriaux DL, Chrousos GP (1988) Hormonal responses to the stress of exercise. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 245: 273-80.
5. Divertie GD, Jensen MD, Miles JM (1991) Stimulation of lipolysis in humans by physiological hypercortisolemia. *Diabetes* 40: 1228-32.
6. Gore DC, Jahoor F, Wolfe RR, Herndon DN (1993) Acute response of human muscle protein to catabolic hormones. *Ann Surg* 218: 679-84.
7. Clark AF, Vignos PJ (1979) Experimental corticosteroid myopathy: effect on myofibrillar ATPase activity and protein degradation. *Muscle Nerve* 2: 265-73.
8. Kietzmann T, Porwol T, Zierold K, Jungermann K, Acker H (1998) Involvement of a local Fenton reaction in the reciprocal modulation by O₂ of the glucagon- dependent activation of the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase gene and the insulin-dependent activation of the glucokinase gene in rat hepatocytes. *Biochem J* 335: 425-32.
9. Pilkis SJ, Claus TH (1991) Hepatic gluconeogenesis/glycogenolysis: regulation and structure/function relationships of substrate cycle enzymes. *Annu Rev Nutr* 11: 465-515.
10. Pilkis SJ, Granner KD (1992) Molecular physiology of the regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis and glycolysis. *Annu Rev Physiol* 54: 885-909.
11. Block KP, Buse MG (1990) Glucocorticoid regulation of muscle branched-chain amino acid metabolism. *Med Sci Sports and Exerc* 22: 316-24.

12. Raastad T, Bjørø T, Hallén J (2000) Hormonal responses to high- and moderate-intensity strength exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 82: 121-8.
13. Santtila M, Häkkinen K, Kraemer WJ, Kyröläinen H (2010) Effects of basic training on acute physiological responses to a combat loaded run test. *Mil Med* 175: 273-9.
14. de Sousa MV, Simões HG, Oshiiwa M, Rogero MM, Tirapegui J (2007) Effects of acute carbohydrate supplementation during sessions of high-intensity intermittent exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 99: 57-63.
15. Li TL, Gleeson M (2005) The effects of carbohydrate supplementation during the second of two prolonged cycling bouts on immunoendocrine responses. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 95: 391-9.
16. Peake J, Wilson G, Mackinnon L, Coombes JS (2005) Carbohydrate supplementation and alterations in neutrophils, and plasma cortisol and myoglobin concentration after intense exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 93: 672-8
17. Thyfault JP, Carper MJ, Richmond SR., Hulver MW, Potteiger JA (2004) Effects of liquid carbohydrate ingestion on markers of anabolism following high- intensity resistance exercise. *J Strength Cond Res* 18: 174-9.
18. Bishop NC, Blannin AK, Robson PJ, Walsh NP, Gleeson M (1999) The effects of carbohydrate supplementation on immune responses to a soccer-specific exercise protocol. *J Sports Sci* 17: 787-96.
19. Utter AC, Kang J, Nieman DC, Williams F, Robertson RJ, et al. (1999) Effect of carbohydrate ingestion and hormonal responses on ratings of perceived exertion during prolonged cycling and running. *Eur J Appl Occup Physiol* 80: 92-9.
20. Eijnde BO, Hespel P (2001) Short-term creatine supplementation does not alter the hormonal response to resistance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 33: 449-53.
21. Branch JD, Schwarz WD, Van Lunen B (2007) Effect of creatine supplementation on cycle ergometer exercise in a hyperthermic environment. *J Strength Cond Res* 21: 57-61.
22. Maglischo, Erenst W (1993) *Swimming Fastest*. Human Kinetics, Champaign, IL.
23. Vandenberghe K, Gillis N, Van Leemputte M, Van Hecke P, Vanstapel F, et al. (1996) Caffeine counteracts the ergogenic action of muscle creatine loading. *J Appl Physiol* 80: 452-7.
24. Welford JH, Costill DL (2014) *Physiology of Sport and Exercise*, 2nd edition.
25. Gleeson M, Nieman D.C, Pederson BK (2004) Exercise, nutrition, and immune function. *J Sports Sci* 22: 115-25.
26. Walker G.J, Finlay O, Griffiths H, Sylvester J, Williams M, et al. (2007) Immunoendocrine response to cycling following ingestion of caffeine and carbohydrate. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39: 1554-60.
27. Slivka D, Hailes W, Cuddy J, Ruby B (2008) Caffeine and carbohydrate supplementation during exercise when in negative energy balance: effects on performance, metabolism, and salivary cortisol. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 33: 1079-85.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License.