Journal of Accounting, Marketing and Financial Mangement
ISSN: 2229-8675
Volume 01, Issue 02, September,2019

LITERATURE ASSESSMENT: ON ORGANIZATION RISK MANAGEMENT

Kumble Chawla[1] Arpita Niralia[2]
Dept. of Business Administration, Sharda University, Greater Noida (U.P), India[1,2.]

Abstract— The cutting-edge take a look at intends to study the previous researches on company danger control (ERM). On inspecting the preceding researches it's miles obtrusive that there was very limited work achieved on ERM. moreover, both number one based and secondary primarily based strategies followed by way of the research which might be taken into account shall be reviewed in this paper. it is noted that the preceding research-based totally danger management (ERM) has normally used number one records for studies purposes. The scope of the previous studies is inclusive of variables which include economic establishments, creation, client products, provider quarter, era, industrial merchandise, plantation, and trade and offerings, and these research used interviews and questionnaires via the mail. Thereafter, obviously, studies primarily based on secondary data resources are referred to be inclined on companies primarily based on business merchandise, of which the information collected is from the annual reports.

Keywords— Literature Assessment, Organization Risk Management, Fundamental Facts, Secondary Information

1. Introduction

The organization danger control is, within the middle; apparently, it is current in addition to constantly used term globally in the cutting-edge time with the intention to refer to the threat control approach. As elaborated through Kawamoto (2001), the overall dangers in an agency are dealt collectively than in my opinion and as a result, this is indicative of one of the core features of ERM. moreover, the time period 'organization' in context to enterprise threat management (ERM) depicts a wonderful that means in evaluation with traditional chance management (TRM). in the perspectives of Meulbroek (2002) the which means of 'agency' is that to combine dangers of diverse types; with the assist of integrated tools and strategies which alleviates the risks and similarly connects across on enterprise tiers compared to traditional risk control. Thereafter, the term integration in context to ERM as targeted by means of Meulbroek (2002), refers to employing targeted financial contraptions at the side of modifications in capital structuring in addition to the amendment of a company's operations.

Moreover, on analyzing numerous researches conducted through students together with [8], [7] , [21].

ERM is alike with Integrated Risk
Management (IRM), Business Risk
Management (BRM), Strategic Risk
Management (SRM), Enterprise-Wide Risk
Management (EWRM), Corporate Risk

Management (CRM), and Holistic Risk
Management (HRM).

Furthermore, company hazard management (ERM) is described as an integrated structure which involves the handling of all business associated risks including marketplace dangers, credit chance, economic capital, operational danger, and hazard switch a good way to improve the value of the firm as described via Lam (2000). while, on the other hand, Makomaski (2008) refers to agency risk management as a tool for selection-making in cases of businesses protecting various business dreams. consequently, Walker et al., (2003) outline ERM as an incorporated and disciplined approach that exists in corporations and facilitates systematic managing of firm-related dangers in an organization and helps in accomplishing the targets of an organization. consequently, in context to the above, the employer danger control in particular in another way via various pupils with a persisting integrative function of it in not unusual noted in every one of the definitions given by means of the students above summarizes the importance of ERM.

2. ORGANIZATION RISK MANAGEMENT, ITS ORIGIN, AND DEVELOPMENT

D'Arcy (2001) indicates that the emergence of risk control roots again to the 1950s by means of a collection of professors, Robert I. Mehr and Bob hedges alongside different modern insurance professors. furthermore, within the year 1963, the first textual content referring to hazard management turned into published titled as – "hazard control and the commercial enterprise corporation" with an aim to bring about an increment inside the productiveness and performance of the agency mainly specializing in natural risks and speculative risks. But, in the year 1990, naturally in the USA using monetary gear was accepted along with 'futures' and 'forwards'. throughout this time notably, danger management changed into not restricted to hazard risks however dealt greater with dangers associated with a price range, strategies, and operational risks. furthermore, in keeping with shreds of evidence managers successfully had been recognized to mitigate dangers greater proactively as a result of pressure imposed through shareholders' and stakeholders' cease as a push to take more tasks in place of buying insurances to fight towards the unsure loses or financial disaster. Thereafter, as a result, managers had been visible to collect better management strategies and higher danger statistics [17]. In connection with risk management, Mango (2007) is of the view that due to the incapability to nicely outline and apprehend it one ought to say that strategic danger has no certain definition. He furthers the emergence of hazard control can be of the end result of regulatory technological innovations and political impediments. while, in step with Li and Liu (2002) the uncertainty of loss of organization in total, in which the loss could both be worthwhile or non-profitable can be stated as strategic risks. In context to operational dangers that is defined through Basel Committee (2001), as the danger of direct or oblique losses received either due to the lack or failure of inner procedures, humans, and structures or because of different related external activities. whereas, the in addition insight upon operational risk, by the committee, evaluates that operational chance is extra related to internal issues which include worker fraud, segregation of obligations, product flaws, and facts risks. In the overdue Nineties, the emergence of enterprise chance management became obvious because of the pertaining multiple views on risks which led to a commonplace conclusion mentioning that ‘chance management’ (conventional hazard management) is tough to be controlled one at a time and for this reason the need for it to be managed aesthetically rose. The risks confronted by means of businesses are due to various factors the multiplicity of the dangers present in a corporation are an operational hazard, strategic hazard, political threat, generation chance, legal threat, financial chance, reputational hazard, and human capital threat. but, extensively, the literature concerns primarily with the dangers particularly of four sorts together with financial risks, hazard chance, operational danger, as well as a strategic threat ([8], [2], [3]). furthermore, Cassidy (2005) assessed the existence of business enterprise hazard management in context to organizational sports which include making plans, organizing, leading, and controlling with the intention to decrease companies’ major dangers together with financial, strategic and operational risks.

3. PRIMARY RECORDS ANALYSIS

An examine by Yusuwan et al., (2008) specializes in the practices adopted through chance control contemplating the construction task groups especially in Klang Valley of Malaysia. The have a look at was conducted to identify the level of focus of hazard management, moreover, to observe the policy adopted in an effort to deal with risks in a creative undertaking, and finally to discover the issues and demanding situations confronted inside the implementation of threat control. The observe employed a questionnaire survey and interviews. The respondents contain 27 companies from public and personal sectors that are operated in Klang Valley of Malaysia. The examine examines focus and belief of chance control and is conclusive of that 44.4 percentage of people are privy to chance management have heard of it occasionally, 29.6 percent have heard of it and feature attended schooling, 14.8 percent have practiced risk management and eleven.1 percent has in no way heard about risk management at all. furthermore, 51.9% of the respondents believed that chance management should upload value to daily paintings, 33.3% believed that risk control became useful in times of crisis even it simplest benefits the company. therefore, from this look at, we will conclude that danger control affects productivity, performance, best and undertaking finances and that hazard management is suitable to use for an undertaking with sure characteristics inclusive of new technology and is suitable to the company all through risky political situations. Rasid and Rahman (2009) investigated management accounting and threat control practices in monetary institutions in Malaysia using mail surveys. these have been dispatched to 106 monetary institutions listed beneath the Malaysian valuable financial institution, including business banks, Islamic banks, merchant/funding banks, cut-price houses, development financial establishments, and insurance agencies. The questionnaires were mailed to the chief economic Officer or the maximum senior role inside the finance department. Of this, 76 respondents or 68% answered. The look at hired eight variables such as activity designation, the period of time retaining the current position, kinds of services, range of personnel, annual sales, annual overall belongings, company's age, and possession shape. The observe found that size became not associated with the volume of ERM improvement and concluded that financial institutions generally tend to adopt ERM due to the requirements set by way of regulators.

Thereafter, studies turned into achieved through Manab et al., (2010) targeted at the elements and the achievement of business enterprise-extensive threat control (EWRM) implementation with company governance compliance and cost creation in for-profit groups in Malaysia. The study decided on 132 listed corporations inside the carrier area and only 85 groups agreed to participate. The observe chose two types of enterprise, particularly monetary businesses and non-financial agencies. Thereafter, eleven EWRM drivers have been hired inside the take a look at corporate governance; mandate from shareholder price, BOD; improved selection making; technology; progressed verbal exchange; exact business practice; globalization; aggressive stress; stakeholder pressure; and catastrophic event. The observe observed 5 fundamental drivers that contribute to the success of EWRM for financial and non-economic organizations. those had been company governance, the mandate from BOD, shareholder cost, stepped forward selection making, and suitable enterprise practices.

Daud et al., (2010) investigated the connection among the high-quality of chief danger Officer (CRO) and organization risk control (ERM) in Malaysia. The look at centered on the extent of company threat management adoption within Malaysian agencies and the excellent of chief risk Officer in the implementation of agency danger control. The questionnaires had been dispatched to 500 companies thru major from seven kinds of the industry consisting of generation, commercial Product, property, purchaser Product, Plantation, alternate and services and construction. amongst these, only 89 respondents participated in the observe. The take a look at targeted on four levels of adoption of company risk management: whole ERM in place; partial ERM in the vicinity; making plans to enforce in ERM, and (d) investigating to adopt ERM. The consequences of the take a look at confirmed that most effective 43% of numerous corporations have entire the ERM application even as 57 percent have been considered as partial. The look at also determined that the excellent of CRO and ERM had been huge indicating that CRO is an important thing for corporations to undertake ERM. This section will talk in short on the development of ERM especially the developing elements that impact agencies to shift from hazard control practices (traditional chance management) to organization danger management. therefore, the discussions will intention at the theoretical views; instructional and expert bodies.

4. SECONDARY FACTS EVALUATION

Consistent with assets Liebenberg and Hoyt (2003) are taken into consideration to be the inventors of the take a look at of ERM which successfully taken secondary statistics under consideration. This drastically specializes in figuring out elements that can be acknowledged to influence the corporations to practice employer risk management. The main objective of the study is to particularly forward to the lifestyles of chief hazard Officer within the implementation of business enterprise chance control. while the other large dynamic factors that thrust the implementation of ERM which is also evidently mentioned within the have a look at. Thereafter, the have a look at helps the identity of most important factors, internal factors which refers to issues associated with the maximization of shareholder's wealth and outside factors which accommodates of globalization, company governance and technological progress. Therefore, in all six hypotheses were formulated for the study:

H1: firms with greater earnings and stock price volatility are more likely to appoint CRO. H2: firms that are more highly leveraged are more likely to appoint a CRO.
H3: firms with greater growth opportunities are more likely to appoint a CRO.
H4: firms that are more financially opaque are more likely to appoint a CRO.
H5: firms with a higher percentage of institutional shareholder ships are more likely to appoint a CRO.
H6: firms that have subsidiaries in the United Kingdom or Canada are more likely to appoint a CRO.

Variables engaged in the study were eight in number: average size; earnings volatility financial services dummy; average leverage; stock price volatility; average market-to-book ratio; average percentage of institutional ownership; and the U.K/Canadian subsidiary dummy. The final sample consisted of 26 U.S. firms that were gathered from Lexis-Nexis, Dow Jones, and PR Newswire. The logit regression approach is employed in the study since the independent variable was a dummy variable. The results of their study showed the prominence to appoint CRO in order to lessen irregular information, and the most important was the role of CRO in applying and managing the ERM program. The results were also indicative that firms with greater financial leverage were more likely to appoint a CRO and size was also found to be substantial to ERM. Thereafter, in another study, Hoyt and Liebenberg (2006), which examines the determinants of Enterprise Risk Management for 275 insurance companies based in the United States during the period of 1995 to 2004. The aim of the study was to determine the factors associated with insurance companies so as to exercise Enterprise Risk Management and to assess the relationship between Enterprise Risk Management and the value of the firm. The study found that, out of 275 companies, only 166 firms were could be considered for analysis. The study adopted CRSP/COMPSTAT as a primary database, followed by Factiva, Thompson, financial reports, newswires, and other media for evidence of Enterprise Risk Management activities. Using Probit regression, the study employed five independent variables: percentage of institutional ownership, size, international diversification dummy. Enterprise Risk Management noted as dummy 1 = user, 0 = else was the independent variable. The results of the study indicated that size, institutional ownership, and international diversification were important in determining ERM adoption. Furthermore, according to Pagach and Warr (2007) who assesses the factors that influenced the firm to adopt Enterprise Risk Management? This study was quite alike with Hoyt and Liebenberg (2006) but they seem to improve in terms of approach used in the study. The study had a larger sample of ERM adopters, more variables and different models used to test the data. The study employed data from 1992 to 2004 for all firms listed in COMPUSTAT. They focused mostly on banks and utility companies. To apprehend for firms that appoint Chief Risk Officer (CRO), the study used a business archive of LEXIS-NEXIS. The variables were grouped into four categories. Firstly, financial characteristics consisted of leverage, cash ratio, earnings volatility, and size. Secondly, asset characteristics consisted of capacity and growth options. Thirdly, market features consisted of the standard deviation of the firm's daily returns over the year (SDRET) prior to the hiring of the CRO. Fourthly, managerial incentives consisted of the Vega and Delta ratio as a proxy of the CEO's risk-taking incentives. The study also used a number of operating segments of the firm, institutional ownership, institutional investors and firm size as control variables. For the model, the study used the hazard model (Cox Proportional Hazard Model) which was commonly used in medical research. The results of their study indicated that an increase in leverage at 10 percent will increase 7.8 percent for companies to hire CRO. In addition, the study found that a 10 percent increased in size will increase 27 percent for companies to hire CRO, an increased in 10 percent of earnings will result in 4.7 percent likelihood companies to hire CRO.

However, Hoyt and Liebenberg (2008) advanced their study done in 2006 by improving the previous model i.e. probit regression to maximum-likelihood treatment effect to estimate the determinants of the company that practiced Enterprise Risk Management (ERM). The study also extended the time period, from 1995 to2005 (previously up to 2004). Specifically, the study only concentrated from 2000 to 2005, in terms of ERM activity. The sample of the study consisted of 275 insurances which was gathered from CRSP/COMPUSTAT database. To ensure the activity of ERM for firms was valid, a detailed search from financial reports, newswires, Factiva, Thompson were used. Eight independent variables were employed as a function of ERM (ERM = 1, as a dummy variable for companies involved in ERM).

These variables consist of institutional dummy industrial diversification dummy and life insurance ownership, size), industrial diversification dummy, international diversification dummy, life insurance dummy, leverage, intra-industry diversification and reinsurance used. In terms of the number of firms with ERM activity, there were 24 companies or 19.2 percent out of 125 companies engaged in ERM. Furthermore, for an appointment of CRO, out of 125 companies, the study found that 15 companies had CRO, where 8 of these companies announced the appointment of CRO.

The results of the determinants of ERM evidently shown that larger firms were more likely to engage in ERM as compared to the smaller firms. This was maintained by force from institutional owners (institutional ownership) for companies to engage in ERM. Whereas, the other independent variables i.e. leverage and reinsurance were negatively and significantly related to ERM. According to Yazid et al. (2008) focused on a cross-sectional study on foreign exchange risk management by Malaysian manufacturers. These companies were selected as they were signed into export and import activities. From 152 companies, 100 companies were selected randomly. The data was collected from the annual report for 2005. The study mainly focuses on foreign exchange risk management (FERM). The results of the study found that 45 percent of the companies were considered to be User (FERM) and 55 percent as a Non-User. The study also evaluated two factors that influenced companies to involve in risk management, i.e. assets and employees. Furthermore, from the study, it was found that 18 percent of users of risk management have adopted ERM framework in their strategic business operation.

5. CONCLUSION

The current study aims at the discourses over the definitions of ERM, its emergence and its gradual development that happened over the years. In addition, previous studies that are related to the determinants of companies that practiced Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) are also discussed. The paper starts with the definition of ERM and its development. The further section discusses researches based on two approaches such as on primary data which comprises of interviews and mail questionnaire and further researches based on secondary data. From the previous study, it was found that most of the studies significantly conducted in Malaysia on risk management or ERM used primary data. The scopes of the previous studies in Malaysia were construction, financial institutions, service sector, technology, industrial products, consumer products, plantation and trade, and services, and these studies used mail questionnaires and interviews. While from the secondary data study, the focus was only on the industrial products, of which data was gathered from annual reports.

6. References

[1] Alviunessen, A., & Jankensgard, H. (2009). Enterprise Risk Budgeting: Bringing Risk Management into the Financial Planning Process. Journal of Applied Finance, 19(1/2), 178-190.

[2] Benston, G., Bromwich, M., Ritan, R. E., & Wagenhofer, A. (2003). Following the Money: The Enron Failure and the State of Corporate Disclosure. AEI-Brookings Joint Center for Regulatory Studies. Cassidy, D. (2005). Enterprise Risk Management (ERM): A New Reality for Businesses. Employee Benefit Plan Review, 29-31.

[3] Casualty Actuarial Society (CAS). (2003). Overview of Enterprise Risk

M a n a g e m e n t. Retrieved from http://www.casact.org/research/erm /overview.pdf COSO. (2004).

[4] Enterprise Risk Management— Integrated Framework Executive Summary. Retrieved from http://www.coso.org/Publications/E RM/COSO_ERM_ExecutiveSummary. pdf D'Arcy, S. P. (2001). Enterprise Risk Management. Journal of Risk Management of Korea, 12(1), 207-228.

[5] The Effect of Chief Risk Officer on Enterprise Risk Management Practices: Evidence from Malaysia. International Business and Economics Research Journal, 9 (11).

[6] Holton, G. A. (1996). Enterprise Risk Management: Contingency Analysis. Retrieved from www.exinfm.com/pdffiles/erm.pdf

[7] Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2006). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from the U.S. Insurance Industry, Retrieved from http://www.aria.org/meetings/2006p apers/Hoyt_Liebenberg_ERM_070606. pdf

[8] Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2008). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from the U.S. Insurance Industry. Retrieved from http://www.risknet.de/typo3conf/ex t/bx_elibrary/elibrarydownload.php?&downloaddata=537.

[9] Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2009). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management. Retrieved from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.c fm?abstract_id=1440947 Jablonowski, M. (2006).The Real Value of ERM. Risk Management, 53(2), 32-37. Jones, M. (2006). Financial Accounting. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

[10] Kleffner, A. E., Lee, R. B., & McGannon, B. (2003). The Effect of Corporate Governance on the Use of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from Canada. Risk Management and Insurance Review, 6(1), 53-73.

[11] KPMG International. (2006). Enterprise Risk Management in the United States: A 2006 Report Card. Retrieved from http://www.sdabocconi.it/files/kpm g_en_version_339QIKWY11U2ZKUEH UY51224057629.pdf

[12] Lam, J. C. (2000). Enterprise-Wide Risk Management and the role of the Chief Risk Officer. Retrieved from http://www.erisk.com/learning/rese arch/011_lamriskoff.pdf

[13] Liebenberg, A. P., & Hoyt, R. E. (2003). The Determinants of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from the Appointment of Chief Risk Officers. Risk Management and Insurance Review, 6(1), 37-52.

[14] Liu, H., & Li, Y. (2002). From Strategic Risk Measurement to Strategic Risk Management—A Resource Based View. USA-China Business Review, 2(2).

[15] Price WaterHouse Coopers. (2008). Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Benchmarking Survey 2008. Retrieved fromhttp://www.pwc.com/fi/fin/ab out/svcs/neuvonta/erm_benchmarki ng_survey_2008.pdf

[16] Rasid, S. A., &Rahman, A. A. (2009).Management Accounting and Risk Management Practices in Financial Institutions Retrieved from http://www.penerbit.utm.my/onlinej ournal/51/E/JT51EDIS06.pdf

[17] Skipper, H. D., & Kwon, W. (2007). Risk Management and Insurance Perspectives in a Global Economy. Blackwell Publishing. The Basel Committee. (2001). Operational Risk. Retrieved 2010, from www.bis.org/publ/bcbsca07.pdf

[18] W. G., & Barton, T. L. (2003). ERM in Practice. The Internal Auditor, 60(4), 51-54. Weiser, B. (2009).

[20] Rasid, S. A., &Rahman, A. A. (2009).Management Accounting and Risk Management Practices in Financial Institutions Retrieved from http://www.penerbit.utm.my/onlinej ournal/51/E/JT51EDIS06.pdf

[21] Manab, N. A., Hussin, M. R., & Kassim, (2007). Empirical Study on Theory and Practice of Enterprise-Wide Risk Management (EWRM) on Internal Auditing and Risk Management Functions of Public Listed Companies in Malaysia. Retrieved from http://www.rmi.nccu.edu.tw/apria/ docs/Concurrent%20IV/Session %201 /14707EWRM_APRIA_2007_New.doc

[22] Mango, D. F. (2007). An Introduction to Insurer Strategic Risk Topic 1: Risk Management of an Insurance Enterprise. Retrieved 2010, from www.actuaries.org/ASTIN/Colloquia /Orlando/Papers/Mango1.pdf

[23] Meulbroek, L. K. (2002). Integrated Risk Management for the Firm: A Senior Manager's Guide. Retrieved 2008, from Harvard Business School: www.hbs.edu/research/facpubs/wor kingpapers/papers2/0102/02-046.pdf

[24] Price WaterHouse Coopers. (2008). Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Benchmarking Survey 2008. Retrieved from http://www.pwc.com/fi/fin/about/svcs/neuvonta/erm_benchmarking_survey_2008.pdf

[25] Skipper, H. D., & Kwon, W. (2007). Risk Management and Perspectives in a Global Blackwell Publishing. Insurance Economy. The Basel Committee. (2001). Operational Risk. Retrieved from 2010, www.bis.org/publ/bcbsca07.pdf

[26] Walker, P. L., Shenkir, W. G., & Barton, T. L. (2003). ERM in Practice. The Internal Auditor, 60(4), 51-54. Weiser, B. (2009).

[27] Lawyer Gets 20 Years in $700 Million Fraud. Retrieved from The New York Times:http://www.nytimes.com/2009 /07/14/nyregion/14dreier.html?_r=2

[28] Yazid, A. S., Hussin, M. R., & Razali, R. (2008). A Cross-Sectional Study on Foreign Exchange Risk Management by Malaysian Manufacturers. International Business Management Journal, 28-32.

[29] Yusuwan, N., A d n a n, H., & Omar, A. (2008). Client’s Perspective of Risk Management Practice in Malaysian Construction Industry. Journal of Politics and Law, 1(3), 121-130.